## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SHAWN ALAN GILLICK.

Plaintiff, vs.

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 12CV1810-LAB (PCL)

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION; AND

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

This social security appeal was referred to Magistrate Judge Peter Lewis for report and recommendation, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636. Judge Lewis issued his report and recommendation (the "R&R") on cross motions for summary judgment, recommending that Plaintiff Shawn Alan Gillick's motion be denied and the motion of Defendant, the Commissioner of Social Security, be granted.

Objections to the R&R were initially due on September 4, 2013, but the Court granted a joint motion extending the deadline to September 18. The order extending the deadline reminded the parties to file promptly, and cautioned them that additional extensions of time would not be granted without a showing of extraordinary good cause. Since then, Gillick has not filed objections, nor sought additional time in which to do so.

A district court has jurisdiction to review a Magistrate Judge's report and recommendation on dispositive matters. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). "The district judge must

- 1 - 12CV1810

determine de novo any part of the magistrate judge's disposition that has been properly objected to." *Id.* "A judge of the court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). This section does not require some lesser review by the district court when no objections are filed. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149-50 (1985). The "statute makes it clear that the district judge must review the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations de novo *if objection is made*, but not otherwise." *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc).

The Court has nonetheless reviewed the R&R and agrees with its rationale and

The Court has nonetheless reviewed the R&R and agrees with its rationale and conclusions. The R&R is **ADOPTED**, Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment is **DENIED**, and Defendant's motion for summary judgment is **GRANTED**. The complaint is therefore **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: September 19, 2013

HONORABLE LARRY ALAN BURNS United States District Judge

am A. Bun

- 2 - 12CV1810